Major Discoveries from the Carbon Study at MOFEP Compartments

Jiquan Chen, Qinglin Li, Rachel Henderson, Daryl Moorhead, Randy Jensen, and Daolan Zheng University of Toledo and Missouri Department of Conservation, 3/30/2006

The primary objective of the study was to quantify differences in carbon (C) flux and storage in the mixed oak forests in SE Missouri Ozarks as a function of alternative management, landscape form, and climate. The MOFEP compartments provided the basis for predicting net C exchanges (NCE, equivalent to net ecosystem productivity) at multiple temporal scales (monthly to 100 years). These predictions were extended to a spatial context NCE and C storage using processed Landsat imagery, along with ecological land type phases (ELTP) and digital elevation model (DEM) databases. The major discoveries include:

- 1) C storages were 182, 170, and 130 Mg C ha⁻¹ for the non-harvest (NHM), singletree uneven-age cut (UAM), and clear-cut even-age (EAM) stands, respectively. The proportion of mean C pools were 29% in living tree biomass, 35% in the soil, 22% in woody detritus, 10% in roots, and 4% in forest floor.
- 2) Harvesting did not affect the species composition, forest floor, and roots, but significantly (p= 0.05) changed tree stand density, mineral soil, living tree C, and coarse woody debris (CWD). The harvesting reduced carbon storage in living tree (31% in UAM, 93% in EAM), but harvesting also increased CDW C pools by 50% (UAM), and 176% (EAM). UAM significantly increased mineral C pool by 13%, while EAM only slightly increased (1%) soil C.
- 3) Landsat images estimated 8.7 million metric tons of aboveground biomass for the studied landscape with an average of 126 Mg C ha⁻¹ ranging from 1 to 460 Mg C ha⁻¹.
- 4) Forty-two percent of the biomass was distributed in elevations 250-300 m, 52% in areas with slopes<10 degrees, and 30% in southeast facing slope. The highest mean biomass (143 Mg C ha⁻¹) with the lowest standard deviation (SD 16 Mg C ha⁻¹) was obtained for the NHM, while smaller means and higher SD were obtained for EAM and UAM forests. No statistical difference was detected among the biomass means of the 3 major ELT types (11, 17, and 18).
- 5) Soil respiration (SR) rate had an average of 4.14 μmol m⁻² s⁻² at MOFEP, which is the major C sources in the ecosystem, and significantly differed by stands and by management (F=43.23, P=0.0012; F=10.21, P=0.0026, respectively).
- 6) The two types of harvesting (UAM and EAM) had different effects on SR, which was not significantly different from the EAM and NHM, but was elevated in the UAM.
- 7) SR rate was also significantly different by ELTP: mean SR rate at UAM increased compared to NHM in protected ultic back-slopes, protected alfic back-slopes, and alfic bench or shoulder-ridge and decreased in exposed ultic back-slopes and exposed alfic back-slopes.
- 8) The mass of litter lost after 32 months ranged from 61% in oak litter to 71% in oak-hickory mixed litter. Mass lost of all the litter was not significantly affected by UAM (P=0.053), while the litter types were significantly affected decomposition except at 19 months (P<0.001). During the 32 months of litter decay, the mass loss of oak-hickory mixed litter was significantly higher (6.6% and 6.8%) than that of oak litter (P<0.001) and oak-pine mixed litter (P<0.003), respectively.
- 9) The major tree species (white oak, black oak, scarlet oak, hickory and short leaf pine) was measured at three different age classes, young (<10 years), intermediate (15-25 years), and mature (>80 years) and three canopy levels (upper, middle, and lower). The average photosynthetic rate among all the species at control condition (i.e. 1500 PAR, and 360 μmol/mol ambient CO₂ concentration) was 7.97 and 8.23 μmol m⁻² s⁻² for Aci curve and light response curve, respectively.
- 10) The average maximum photosynthetic rate among all the species was 19.7 (CO₂ response) and 8.46 (light response) μmol m⁻² s⁻². The upper canopy positions typically experienced greater photosynthetic capability compared to the lower canopy positions (p<0.0001 to 0.0125). Specific leaf weight was the best predictor of photosynthetic rate among the factors (i.e. SPAD chlorophyll concentration, vapor pressure deficit, temperature, and fraction of PAR intercepted).